UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,718	03/23/2006	Hideo Anraku	Q92291	9862
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/25/200 ON, PLLC	8	EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WALKER, NED ANDREW	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/564,718	ANRAKU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NED A. WALKER	3781					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		·	~				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges the Preliminary Amendment received on January 17th, 2006 and the associated amended claims.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. The species are as follows:

Species 1: Figure(s) 1, 3

Species 2: Figure(s) 2

Species 3: Figure(s) 4

Species 4: Figure(s) 5

Species 5: Figure(s) 7

Species 6: Figure(s) 9

Species 7: Figure(s) 10

Species 8: Figure(s) 11

Species 9: Figure(s) 12

Species 10: Figure(s) 13

Species 11: Figure(s) 14

Species 12: Figure(s) 15

Species 13: Figure(s) 16

Species 14: Figure(s) 17 – 18

Art Unit: 3781

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Figure(s) 1, 3: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 2: Claim(s) 3, 8, 9, 15

Figure(s) 4: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 5: Claim(s) 3, 8, 9, 15

Figure(s) 7: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 9: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 10: Claim(s) 3, 8, 9, 15

Figure(s) 11: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18

Figure(s) 12: Claim(s) 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18

Figure(s) 13: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 14: Claim(s) 3, 8, 9, 15

Figure(s) 15: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Figure(s) 16: Claim(s) 3, 8, 9, 15

Figure(s) 17: Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17

Generic: None

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all species is the stopper. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. US Patent 6,565,814 teaches a stopper and corresponding structure substantially as claimed.
- 4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the

Art Unit: 3781

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NED A. WALKER whose telephone number is (571)270-3545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NW

/Anthony D Stashick/ Anthony Stashick Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781